Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/942,122	KONDO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kevin L. McHenry	1725
All Participants:	Status of Application: Allowed	
(1) Kevin L. McHenry, examiner.	(3)	
(2) Michael Schmidt, applicant's representative.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 30 November 2004	Time: <u>2:15 pm</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicate  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  103 rejection of action dated 17 June 2004		
Claims discussed: 2		
Prior art documents discussed:  Barber (U.S.P. 4,025,612) & DuBose (U.S.P. 6,013,385)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE The examiner noted that all claims were allowable except for cla read upon claim 2. Agreement was reached to amend claim 2 to	im 2 since the prior art, part	ficularly the valve 56 taught by DuBose.
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summare</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a ecord of the substance of	of the interview, since the interview
	<del></del>	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)